# 1-D and 2-D Carbon-Iron Nanohybrids Prepared with Ultrasonic Spray Pyrolysis for Cr (VI) Removal

Arvid Masud, John D. Atkinson, and Nirupam Aich

Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering

School of Engineering and Applied Sciences







School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

#AichLENS Aich Laboratory for Environmental Nanotechnology and Sustainability



Material of Choice: Iron and Graphene or CNT



# **Environmental Application of Iron Nanoparticle**

- In 2010, world market for environmental application of nanomaterials was \$6 Billion
- Fe NP (mostly nano zero valent iron, nZVI) is the most used engineered nanomaterial for in-situ soil and groundwater remediation
- High *redox activity* & *adsorptive capacity* enable
  Fe NPs to remove heavy metal and organic contaminants
- Applied to **77 pilot & field scale** sites worldwide (2014)
- Applied also in pilot wastewater treatment plants



Pilot scale iron nanoparticle based ground water remediation plant through injection well



# Limitations to Reactivity and Need for Solid Supports

Fe NPs *agglomerate* due to van der Waals and magnetic attraction forces, *decreasing reactivity and adsorption* 

1-D carbon nanotube (CNT) and 2-D reduced graphene oxide (rGO) are good solid supports

- Large accessible specific surface area
- High electron mobility
- Mechanical durability
- High contaminant adsorption





# Limitations to Reactivity and Need for Solid Supports

Fe NPs *agglomerate* due to van der Waals and magnetic attraction forces, *decreasing reactivity and adsorption* 

1-D carbon nanotube (CNT) and 2-D reduced graphene oxide (rGO) are good solid supports

- Large accessible specific surface area
- High electron mobility
- Mechanical durability
- High contaminant adsorption



# Limitations to Reactivity and Need for Solid Supports

Fe NPs *agglomerate* due to van der Waals and magnetic attraction forces, *decreasing reactivity and adsorption* 

- 1-D carbon nanotube (CNT) and 2-D reduced graphene oxide (rGO) are good solid supports
  - Large accessible specific surface area
  - High electron mobility
  - Mechanical durability
  - High contaminant adsorption

# Comparison of Fe-CNT and Fe-rGO Effect of Shape of Carbon Nanomaterials





School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

#AichLENS Aich Laboratory for Environmental Nanotechnology and Sustainability



Process of Choice: Ultrasonic Spray Pyrolysis





### **Synthesis of Fe-Carbon Nanohybrids**

- Wet Chemistry based methods are conventional
- Chemical reduction of iron salts onto oxidized CNT or graphene oxide (GO)
- Some methods require *hazardous reducing agents* (hydrazine, benzene)
- Require extensive reaction time (up to 24 hours)
- Limited by *scalability*







10

# **Ultrasonic Spray Pyrolysis (USP)**

- Aerosol based process
- Fast: reactions happen in matter of seconds
- Thermal reduction: so *No hazardous reagents*
- Continuous, one-step, and **Scalable** process





# **Ultrasonic Spray Pyrolysis (USP)**

- Aerosol based process
- *Fast:* reactions happen in matter of seconds
- Thermal reduction: so No hazardous reagents
- Continuous, one-step, and **Scalable** process





No study on USP-derived Fe-CNT or Fe-rGO for *pollutant removal* 

11



# **Nanohybrid Types and Relevant Precursors**





### **Nanohybrid Types and Relevant Precursors**



![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy Image**

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Fe NP

Size range: 200-500 nm

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

X-ray Diffraction Spectroscopy (XRD)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

19

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Thermogravimetric Analyses**

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Estimated CNT in Fe-CNT-R1 13%Estimated rGO in Fe-rGO-R1 18%Estimated CNT in Fe-CNT-R2 33%Estimated rGO in Fe-rGO-R2 41%

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Heavy Metal Removal: Cr (VI) Adsorption Isotherm

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

USP Product	Adsorption Capacity (mg/g)	BET Surface area (m²/g)
Fe-rGO-R1	12	27
Fe-rGO-R2	25	26
Fe-CNT-R1	9	36
Fe-CNT-R2	14	23
Fe NPs	6	8

21

Increased surface area in nanohybrids aid their higher adsorption

Fe-rGO-R2: Highest adsorption due to uniformly dispersed and smallest Fe NPs

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Heavy Metal Removal: Cr (VI) Adsorption Isotherm

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

USP Product	Adsorption Capacity (mg/g)	BET Surface area (m²/g)
Fe-rGO-R1	12	27
Fe-rGO-R2	25	26
Fe-CNT-R1	9	36
Fe-CNT-R2	14	23
Fe NPs	6	8

# Fe-CNT << Fe-rGO Bundled vs Exfoliated

22

Increased surface area in nanohybrids aid their higher adsorption

Fe-rGO-R2: Highest adsorption due to uniformly dispersed and smallest Fe NPs

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

23

### **Summary and Future Perspective**

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

- USP-derived Fe-rGO performs better than Fe-CNT for Cr(VI) removal (shape-effect)
- Tuning of Fe content is critical
- Influence of environmental parameters (pH, ionic strength) needs to be studied
- More investigation is needed to clarify the mechanisms of contaminant removal

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Nanohybrid Toxicity** 

Fe-rGO Nanohybrid Toxicity to Bronchial Epithelial Cell (BEAS-2B)

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Acknowledgements** 

- Dr. John Atkinson (UB CSEE)
- Yanbin Cui

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Thank You**

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)